

RE its what you (USA)

Location

Wisconsin

<https://www.genclassifieds.com/x-331225-z>


You seem to be 'excited' to stir this pot. You are such an annoying person and I really try to be nice. I see you as being mentally challenged. Suffering from the delusional disorder of Liberalism. We all see it. I, like most normal people, wouldn't (for example) use terms like Retardican. I protect those with retardation and will not let it stand. Find another term. (You seem to be OK with calling people retards and so on.) It shows your intelligence or lack there of. You portray yourself as some highly educated intellectual and you couldn't be farther from the truth. Copy paste to you, is akin to Obama and his Teleprompter. Legends in your own minds.

A little background here,

The Religious Freedom Restoration Acts first came about after the Supreme Court's 1990 decision in Employment Division v. Smith, (brought in Oregon by the way) which "narrowed protections for the free exercise of religion."

In response to the court's ruling, Our Congress sought to restore religious freedom by passing the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993, popularly known as RFRA.

The legislation won unanimous support in the House, passed 97-3 in the Senate, (CAN YOU IMAGINE) and was signed into law by then-President BILL CLINTON.

Since then, including Indiana, 19 states have passed their own state-level Religious Freedom Restoration Acts: Alabama, Arizona, Connecticut, Florida, Idaho, ILLINOIS (OBAMA VOTED ((are you ready)) YES!!!!!!!), Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia. Seems we in Wisconsin are a little behind.

Another 11 states have RFRA-like protections provided by state court decisions. (Wisconsin Included)

Under Indiana's new law, "The state cannot substantially burden a person's exercise of religion unless it is furthering a compelling government interest and acting in the least restrictive way possible."

Critics, and you know who they are, say the law is not enabling business owners to discriminate against people based on their sexual orientation. As Hills said, "But it, because only who they have refused help, even though you didn't see it, so cool with that."

Not true. The anti discrimination laws protect citizens from Discrimination. Like my First post on this subject, I can't deny a homosexual the right to purchase a cup cake at my bakery, but I can decide to PARTICIPATE in their wedding. You see it affects MY RIGHTS.

Gay rights don't trump Religious rights. Seems like you LBS are all for rights, at least the ones you agree with. Keep Trumpeting, Parroting, and Stirring the Pot.

Wacky!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!


