

IF YOU CANT AFFORD AN ATTORNEY, I CAN HELP YOU (Los Angeles (all 50 states))



Location **California**
<https://www.genclassifieds.com/x-774608-z>

QR Code Link to This Post FIRST, I AM NOT A LAWYER, BUT I'VE BEEN DRAFTING LEGAL DOCUMENTS FOR OVER 30 YEARS. I WAS TAUGHT HOW TO DO THIS BY A FORMER FEDERAL PROSECUTOR WHO TRAINED ME FOR OVER 3 YEARS.

AFTER MY TRAINING, I FILED A FEDERAL LAWSUIT AGAINST FEDERAL EMPLOYEES AND I PROSECUTED MY CASE IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT IN ARIZONA. I PREPARED ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS MYSELF AND GAVE MY ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE CHIEF FEDERAL JUDGE. I WON THE CASE, BUT THE GOVERNMENT APPEALED THE CASE TO THE 9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS IN SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

I PREPARED MY LEGAL DOCUMENTS MYSELF, AND I GAVE MY ORAL ARGUMENT WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF AN ATTORNEY, IN FRONT OF THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE 9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, ALEX KOZINSKI, WHO SAID "I WAS BETTER THAN MOST ATTORNEYS WHO CAME BEFORE HIM". OBVIOUSLY, A HUGE COMPLIMENT FROM A FEDERAL JUDGE!

I WON THE APPEAL IN SPITE OF 2 ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS WHO REPRESENTED THE DEFENDANT WITH MUCH MORE EXPERIENCE THAN I HAD.

The growth of pro se litigants can be attributed to the high cost of litigation, anti-lawyer sentiment, and the advent of do-it-yourself law services. However, self-represented litigants may still need legal representation in order to navigate the litigation process.

Legal ghostwriting is one way in which clients can receive legal counsel while maintaining control of their case and avoiding higher legal costs. Attorneys offering legal ghostwriting services often charge a flat fee rather than billing by the hour as is typical for full-service attorneys, but they charge much more than I do.

I'M A PROFESSIONAL LEGAL GHOSTWRITER AND HAVE OVER 30 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WITH PREPARING LEGAL DOCUMENTS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES. AND I'M VASTLY LESS EXPENSIVE THAN ATTORNEYS!

One must remember the expectation when Pro Se litigants receive no preference in the courts. It is well established that Pro Se pleadings are generally less stringent than standard legal pleadings required by law. The generous latitude which courts give to true Pro Se pleadings is intended for giving the plaintiff facts maximum effect. Some courts also recognize possible legal causes of action suggested by the facts alleged in the pleading, even if the pleading itself makes no mention of such causes of action. Neither courts must give Pro Se litigants wide leeway to amend deficient complaints and must strain to the litigant why such complaints are legally deficient and how they might be amended. In other words, the court must more than simply advise the Pro Se plaintiff that his complaint needs to be shorter and more concise. Also, the lenient reading of Pro Se pleadings applies not only to motions for dismissal on the pleadings, but also extends to Pro Se responses to motions for summary judgment against them. Finally, courts will tolerate substantial procedural errors by Pro Se litigants that would not otherwise be permitted.

IS NO GUARANTEE OF THE OUTCOME OF YOUR CASE!

YOU CAN CALL ME ANYTIME FOR A FREE CONSULTATION. I'M AVAILABLE 7 DAYS A WEEK AND IF YOU LEAVE ME A MESSAGE, I WILL CALL YOU BACK THE SAME DAY.

STEVEN